

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/411,629	1	10/01/1999	WATARU NARA	0557-4784-2	8585
22850	7590	12/20/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, S.	•	MCCLELLAND, N	TRAN, NHAN T		
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2615	

DATE MAILED: 12/20/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/411,629	NARA, WATARU	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Nhan T. Tran	2615	_
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING ID. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailine earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC .136(a). In no event, however, may a red d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON te, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this contained the mailing date of this contained the co	
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 28 / 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matt	•	e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 5,7,8,13 and 15-20 is/are pending in 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 5,7,8,13 and 15-20 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ac Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	cepted or b) objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyar ction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 Cl	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in A ority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC 	O-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments filed 11/28/2005 with respect to claims 5, 13, 17 & 19 have been fully considered and are persuasive. However, upon further consideration, these claims are moot in view of the following new ground of rejection.

2. Applicant's arguments filed 11/28/2005 with respect to claims 7, 8, 15, 16, 18 & 20 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Regarding independent claims 7 & 15, each of these claims was previously rejected as being anticipated by Bilhan et al (US 6,791,607 B1) under35 USC 102(e). Claims 7 & 15 do not require the limitations of "wherein the black reference level for a respective line is an average of pixel values in a main scan direction, the moving average being obtained from moving-averaging in a sub-scan direction, the black reference values." as required in claims 5 & 13. The Applicant does not address any relevant feature or limitation of claims 7 & 15. It is clear that Bilhan anticipates claims 7 & 15. Thus, the rejection of claims 7 & 15 is maintained.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 9/6/2005 is in compliance with the provisions of 37 CFR 1.97. Accordingly, the information disclosure statement is being considered by the examiner.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 7 & 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bilhan et al (US 6,791,607).

Regarding claim 7, Bilhan discloses an image reading apparatus (Figs. 5 & 7 and abstract) comprising:

photoelectric conversion meas (CCD) for photoelectrically converting image information obtained from optically reading an original image, line by line, and outputting an image signal, the photoelectrically converting means having optically shielding means (optical black pixels) provided at a portion thereof (see Fig. 6 and col. 4, lines 20-40);

black shading correction means (circuitry shown in Figs. 5 & 7) for correcting the image signal using a black reference level (OB_{average} output from digital average 512), the black reference level being obtained from the portion of the electrically converting means for each line during an operation of the reading of the original image, wherein the black reference level used by the black shading correcting means for each line is obtained using black reference values (values of optical black pixels), each of the black reference values being data of the portion of the photoelectrically converting means for a respective one of a plurality of lines, wherein the

Art Unit: 2615

black reference level for each line (OB_{average} output from digital average 512) is obtained from a moving-averaging of the black reference values (values of optical black pixels) for the plurality of lines. See col. 4, line 66 – col. 5, line 67.

Regarding claim 15, see the Examiner's analysis in claim 7.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 5 & 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bilhan et al (US 6,791,607).

Regarding claim 5, Bilhan discloses all limitations of claim 5 (see the analysis of claim 7 for the same limitations) but does not clearly disclose that the black reference level for a respective line is an average of pixel values in a main scan direction, the moving average being obtained from moving-averaging in a sub- scan direction, the black reference values. However, Bilhan clearly suggests that the user can program the number of black cells per line and the number of lines to be averaged by calibration logic 714 (col. 5, lines 48-50). Bilhan also teaches scanning of the CCD sensor by horizontal and vertical scanning method in col. 5, lines 43-45. From the technical view, a main scan direction is represented by the horizontal scan

Art Unit: 2615

direction and a sub-scan direction is presented by the vertical scan direction. Bilhan further teaches that for each line, ADC 710 outputs a signal corresponding to the sampled optical black levels which are averaged by the digital block (col. 5, lines 46-48).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to quickly recognize the scanning directions and to take the advantage of the flexibility in the program used in Bilhan to program the imaging apparatus such that the black reference level for a respective line is an average of pixel values (average of the number of black pixels per line) in a main scan direction (horizontal direction) and the moving-averaging is obtained from movingaveraging (average of the number of lines), in a sub-scan direction (vertical direction), the black reference values so as to enable an alternative configuration having similar features for black level correction without departing the scope of the invention as suggested by Bilhan in col. 7, lines 41-48.

Regarding claim 13, see the Examiner's analysis in claim 5.

6. Claims 8, 16-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bilhan et al (US 6,791,607) in view of Barron et al (US 5,659,355).

Regarding claim 8, Bilhan teaches that the imaging apparatus is highly programmable and the user can program the optical black pixels per line and the number of lines to be averaged by calibration logic 714 (col. 5, lines 46-50). However, Bilhan is *silent* about the number of lines comprising the current line and preceding lines. Barron teaches averaging of black

Art Unit: 2615

reference values by using a plurality of lines that comprises the current line and preceding lines (e.g., first 4 lines including preceding 3 lines and current 4th line, or first 8 lines including preceding 7 lines and current 8th line, etc...) so that a more accurate calculation for black level compensation is established. See Barron in col. 3, line 60 – col. 4, line 23.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to program the imaging apparatus in Bilhan to average a predetermined number of lines that comprises the current line and preceding lines to obtain a more accurate calculation for the black level correction.

Regarding claim 16, see the Examiner's analysis in claim 8.

Regarding claims 17-20, see the Examiner's analysis in claim 8. Furthermore, the combined teachings of Bilhan and Barron would also teach the data of teach of the predetermined number of immediately antecedent lines comprising an average taken through a relevant line since optical black pixels in each line are averaged in the main scan direction before the total number of lines to be averaged again in the sub-scan direction as analyzed in claim 5 (see Bilhan in col. 4, line 66 – col. 5, line 2 and col. 5, lines 46-50).

Conclusion

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nhan T. Tran whose telephone number is (571) 272-7371. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Thursday, 7:30am - 5:30pm.



Application/Control Number: 09/411,629

Art Unit: 2615

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Ometz can be reached on (571) 272-7593. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

NT.

DAVID OMETZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Page 7